## Mrs May — trying to face both ways

Like the Roman god Janus, Our Prime Minister, it seems, is trying to face both ways at once. On the one hand, she has been kicking out against the unacceptable terms which the EU has set for any transitional agreement while on the other, she seems keen to capitulate on important areas such as criminal justice.

Michel Barnier, the EU's chief negotiator recently stated that agreement on a Brexit transition was "not a given" and with good reason. Theresa May, having read the EU's terms has fought back, insisting that we must have greater freedom than the EU wants to allow us after Brexit. She apparently intends to oppose the EU's terms for citizen's rights and any thought of us being a passive recipient of EU law but with no say in its formulation. The terms are so harsh, as we have stated, that it would have been unacceptable for Mrs May to have rolled over. Already there is much backbench disquiet over the EU's proposals. Hopefully all MPs will have read the document produced by the European Commission dated 7th February and in particular, the chilling words in the first paragraph of Page 5:- "For the purposes of the Treaties, during the transition period, the parliament of the United Kingdom shall not be considered to be a national parliament."

Of course, Mrs May presides over a split cabinet. Our friends in Fishing for Leave recently commented on the struggles which Michael Gove has faced merely for wanting the UK to take control of its fishing policy after Brexit. That such a battle even needed to be fought is a cause for concern.

Recalcitrant cabinet members cannot, however, take the blame for Mrs May's proposed speech in Munich next Saturday where she will give a speech including a declaration that the UK will continue to participate in the European Arrest Warrant as well as retaining its Europol membership. Mind you, like much of Mrs May's Brexit strategy, this may well amount to wishful thinking as it's not up to us whether we remain participants in these two schemes. Last November, Michel Barnier said that we would be ejected from Europol as it was only open to EU member states. Our ejection was the "logical consequence of the sovereign choice made by the British." Unlike our team. M. Barnier is not known for changing his stance on key issues, so Mrs May's speech next Saturday may turn out to be empty rhetoric.

Indeed, we hope it is so for otherwise, she will face yet more fully-deserved criticism from her MPs. Jacob Rees-Mogg, first off the mark as usual, has reiterated his long-standing opposition to any further UK involvement with this flawed scheme. Regular visitors to this website will be in no doubt about the Campaign for an Indepndent Britain's opposition to any ongoing participation in the EAW, Europol or the EU Gendarmerie — and we will continue to campaign on this issue if we are not pre-empted by M. Barnier rendering our efforts unnecessary. We fail to understand why Mrs May, Amber Rudd or anyone else wants to keep us locked into our current unsatisfactory relationship with the deeply flawed inquisitorial criminal justice systems of most EU member states.

On a different note, readers will be familiar with our reporting of the pathetic behaviour of the remoaners. It seems that a small minority of them have touched a new low. At least six major backers of the Leave campaign have received identical death threats. The wording is quite chilling:- "You have stoked the fires of Brexit and led us to this moment. You can no longer be tolerated. We are coming for you. We are going to kill you." The group sending these letters calls itself "the Real 48 per cent" and has also targeted Cabinet minister Andrea Leadsom.

We would be the first to point out that the vast majority of remain voters, including most of those who sincerely believe that we should remain in the EU, would not remotely condone this sort of intimidation. Indeed, this shadowy group's title is misleading in the extreme. They only speak for a minute fraction of the 48% of voters who supported remain. The reality is that most voters on both sides of the debate actually care very little about Brexit any more. As one writer put it, most people just wish that, as an issue, it would just go away.

So indeed would we, but not until we have achieved full independence — and this includes freedom from the EAW and Europol, full control over who fishes in our Exclusive Economic Zone and a relationship with the EU which is far looser and completely free of the subservience of the proposed transitional agreement.